UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,582	12/28/2005	Shouzi Yamazaki	SHM-16348	8547
	7590 09/22/200 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
38210 Glenn A	venue	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/562,582	YAMAZAKI, SHOUZI		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mathieu D. Vargot	1791		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6/20/</u>	⁄n8			
		action is non-final.			
′=					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 2 and 5-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 5-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/562,582 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coon et al further in view of Wycech -078 essentially for reasons of record. In view of the amendment incorporating now cancelled claim 4 into claim 2, the rejection has been reformatted to some extent but remains basically the same. Note that the primary reference shows what appears to be capsules or pellets/granules as the foamable material in Figs. 2 and 3 and discloses that the material 14 would be a pellet. While applicant notes that the pellet is then taught as being basically a plug—ie, not individual microspheres—it should also be noted that the primary reference teaches that such is merely one embodiment of what the foamable material would be. See col. 10, lines 37+, "An example of one such system..." noted by applicant and col. 9, lines 45+ "One such material...". A reference is to be considered for all it discloses, not merely preferred embodiments, or embodiments that are particularly embraced by the invention. Also, column 5, lines 20-21 of Wycech -078 teach that "[I]in one embodiment, unexpanded microspheres are used which are then expanded to form resin-based material 18." These microspheres are then taught in the next few lines to be 1-250, particularly 10-180 microns in diameter, thereby meeting the limitation of previous claim 4 now incorporated into claim 2. While methods of applying resin 18 are taught to be spraying or liquid coating (col. 6, lines 37-48) as noted by applicant, it is rather clear that Application/Control Number: 10/562,582 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

using expandable microspheres alone is also contemplated by Wycech -078, based on the disclosure of col. 5, lines 20-21. If one of ordinary skill in this art has contemplated using expandable microspheres alone, then it is submitted that such would have been obvious in the process of the primary reference. It is normally within the skill level of the art to employ known methods of resin application and the expandable microsphere method is taught in Wycech -078.

2.Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant insists that the references do not teach the use of expandable microspheres as a method of providing a foamed resin layer. However, such is submitted to be clearly taught in the secondary reference. As applicant should be well aware, a reference is to be considered for all it teaches, not merely preferred embodiments, see In re Boe, 148 USPQ 507.

3.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot September 19, 2008 /Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791